List of Figures

Figure 1 :
Demand 1999 and the High Efficiency Model. Six Supply scenarios with different dependance from imports (Imported Fuels). Scenarios 2,4 and 6 assume a decreased population of Japan.
Page 2

Figure 2 :
Overview of the ERJ Scenarios showing primary energy supply and the share of domestic production. Scenarios 2,4 and 6 assume a decreased population of Japan.
Page 3

Figure 3 :
The figures show the dynamics of electricity generation for 2 weeks of the year. The supply-system always produces enough electricity to cover the demand. If there is low electricity production of windenergy and photovoltaics at the same time, pumped storages get used to guarantee full supply (see days 14, 18, 19 and 271).
Page 4

Figure 4 :
Final energy demand per sector in Japan in 1999. Source: EDMC.
Page 16

Figure 5 :
Final energy demand in Japan per sector in 1999
Page 17

Figure 6 :
Changes in energy intensity of major industries in Japan 1973 – 1998
Page 18

Figure 7 :
Final energy demand per industrial sub-sector 1999 and *ERJ Demand Model*
Page 32

Figure 8 :
Residential final energy demand in 1999
Page 33

Figure 9 :
Final residential energy demand 1999 and *ERJ Demand Model* in PJ
Page 36

Figure 10 :
Commercial energy demand in 1999
Page 36

Figure 11 :
Commercial final energy demand comparison
Page 39

Figure 12 :
Passenger transport 1999
Page 40

Figure 13 :
Freight transport 1999
Page 40

Figure 14 :
The Greenpeace „SMILE“ car. The car is based on a series-production vehicle. Aerodynamic modifications, weight reduction and the implementation of a high efficient combustion-engine allowed to half fuel consumption while maintaining the cars power and performance.
Page 42
Figure 15 :
Transport energy reductions 1999 and *ERJ Demand Model*
Page 43
Figure 16 :
Final energy demand, 1999 and the *ERJ Demand Model*
Page 44
Figure 17 :
Population projections. Japan 1950 to 2050
Page 45
Figure 18 :
Map of Japan
Page 47
Figure 19 :
The optimisation of installed capacities in the *ERJ Supply Model*
Page 49
Figure 20 :
Structure of the *ERJ Model*
Page 52
Figure 21 :
Kiyomino Solar Settlement (Japan); Source : Hakushin Corporation, Saitama.
Page 53
Figure 22 :
Solar radiation in the different regions of *ERJ Supply Model* Scenario One as average values for the year 1999 (in kWh per m^2)
Page 55
Figure 23 :
Installed area of solar cells in the different regions of Scenario One
Page 56
Figure 24 :
The Kyocera Headquarters, which is self sufficient in energy.
Page 57
Figure 25 :
High efficiency solar thermal vacuum collector systems;
Source : Paradigma, Ritter Energie und Umwelttechnik, Karlsbad, Germany
Page 58
Figure 26 :
Installed hydropower in the different regions of the *ERJ Supply Model*
Page 59
Figure 27 :
Yearly electricity demand in the residential, commercial and industrial sectors of different regions in the *ERJ Supply Model*
Page 60

Figure 28 :
Installed wind power in the different regions of Scenario One
Page 62
Figure 29 :
Installed geothermal power plants in the different regions of Scenario One
Page 64
Figure 30 :
The use of cogeneration plants in the *ERJ Supply Models* (storage and solar systems are also shown)
Page 67
Figure 31 :
Electrical power of industrial cogeneration in the different regions of Scenario One
Page 68
Figure 32 :
Electrical and thermal power of cogeneration in the residential and commercial sectors in the different regions of Japan in Scenario One
Page 69
Figure 33 :
The Kramer Junction "SEGS" solar-thermal power plants
Page 70
Figure 34 :
Overview of the *ERJ Scenarios* showing primary energy supply and the share of domestic production
Page 76
Figure 35 :
Horns Rev in Denmark
Page 79
Figure 36 :
An ORC power plant; Source :Turboden, Brescia, Italy.
Page 80
Figure 37 :
Horns Rev in Denmark
Page 82
Figure 38 :
Domestic energy production in all "Energy-Rich Japan" scenarios. This is the production of electricity and heat in the installed power plants. Biomass is set to zero. Sustainably produced biomass holds enormous potential, but the amount available was unknown at the time of publication of this study
Page 85
Figure 41 :
Parabolic reflectors producing electricity used for hydrogen production
Page 87
Figure 42 :
Schematic diagram of the MAN hydrogen bus
Page 89
Figure 43 :
The 12 regions of the *ERJ Electrical System Model*
Page 93

Figure 44 :
Analysis of annual demand in Tohoku East showing daily fluctuations
Page 94
Figure 45 :
Examples of hourly demand curves
Page 94
Figure 46 :
Three wind turbine power curves
Page 96
Figure 47 :
The maximum power output of hydropower plants in Kanto.
Page 99
Figure 48 :
Energy supply and demand over one week in January in gigawatts.
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower
Page 104
Figure 49 :
Energy supply and demand in Hokkaido West over one week in January in Gigawatts.The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower
Page 105
Figure 50 :
Energy supply and demand in Kanto over one week in January in Gigawatts. The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower
Page 106
Figure 51 :
Energy supply and demand in Chugoku over one week in January in Gigawatts.The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower
Page 107
Figure 52 :
Duration of overproduction of electricity in Japan
Page 110
Figure 53 :
Projected Japanese final energy demand according to the standard (1999) and the *ERJ Demand Model*
Page 112
Figure 54 :
Domestic energy production in all “Energy-Rich Japan” scenarios
Page 114
Figure 55 :
ERJ supply and demand in GigaWatts, showing the first week in January and third week in September in Scenario One
Page 115
Figure 56 :
Power output of several wind turbines computed for different spatial resolutions. Source: ISUSI
Page 135

Figure 57 :
Daily load curves for households in Japan in summer. Source: ISEP
Page 139
Figure 58 :
Daily load curves for industry in Japan in summer. Source: ISEP
Page 139
Figure 59 :
Daily load curves for commerce and service sector in Japan in summer. Source: ISEP
Page 140
Figure 60 :
Annual load curves of various energy-consumption sectors on an absolute and a normalized scale. Source: ISEP
Page 140
Figure 61 :
Comparison of a season change with and without adjustment. Source: ISUSI
Page 143
Figure 62 :
Effects of random fluctuations on the curves. Source: ISUSI
Page 144
Figure 63 :
The input dialog of a consumer module. The total consumption, the weekend factor, and the variation are entered here. The annual load curve is also entered on this page. The daily load curves are entered on the ‘Daily envelopes’ page. Source: ISUSI
Page 145
Figure 64 :
Dialog of the import-export manager. The lengths and numbers of lines connecting the subregions can be entered here. The import-export manager calculates an energy distribution matrix with the minimum transmission losses from this information. Source: ISUSI
Page 147
Figure 65 :
Dialog for a photovoltaic module. Various surfaces with differing alignments, that are all connected to the same weather station, can be entered here. In addition, the module requires information on the position of the module and its efficiency. More than one angle of inclination and declination (“Inclination” and “Adjustment”) with solar arrays of different sizes can be set. The setting “Radiation input is diffuse/direct” means that the meteorological data are available divided into diffuse and direct radiation, in contrast to “Calculate radiation from global”, where these data must be computed from the global irradiance. The “number of the weatherstation” identifies the set of meteorological data pertaining to the module. Source: ISUSI
Page 150
Figure 66 :
Typical output curves of wind turbines. Source: ISUSI
Page 151

Figure 67 :
Typical dialog for a group of wind turbines. The number of wind turbines, the height of their hubs, and the roughness of the surroundings can be entered. Some information from the adjacent weather station is also required. Thus each wind turbine can have its own set of meteorological data assigned to it, which is given in the field “Number of the weatherstation”. Source: ISUSI
Page 152
Figure 68 :
Dialog for CHP plant in the household and industrial sectors. The times of day and target temperatures for daytime and nighttime heating can be entered here, for example (“Day heating begins ... and ends ...”, “Starting temperature”, “Target temperature”), as well as the thermal and electrical efficiency. What rated capacity per square metre is installed is entered in “thermal power rating per qm”. This value, multiplied by the “Covered qm”, gives the total rated capacity of the CHP plant module. The field “Minimum minutes of operation” can be used to specify the shortest time the installation will run after being switched on, in order to avoid constant switching on and off. This block does not require any information on the set of meteorological data, since the temperatures are passed on to the CHP plant module from a separate meteorological data module. Source: ISUSI
Page 154
Figure 69 :
Dialog for CHP plants in industry. Here, the rated capacity and thermal and electrical efficiencies can be specified. Nothing more is needed to calculate the output. Source: ISUSI
Page 155
Figure 70 :
Dialog for a hydroelectric plant. In addition to the rated capacity, the envelope curve for maximum energy output can be specified. Source: ISUSI
Page 156
Figure 71 :
Dialog for a geothermal power plant. Input of rated capacity and the thermal and electrical efficiencies. Source: ISUSI
Page 157
Figure 72 :
Dialog for a pumped-storage power plant. The input parameters are the maximum level, the level at the start of the simulation run, and the efficiency. In addition, the maximum storable and available capacities can be specified. The momentary level is an output parameter. Source: ISUSI
Page 157
Figure 73 :
Representation of a selection of the results of SimRen within the simulation environment. Source: ISUSI
Page 159
Figure 74 :
Test of photovoltaic module: Comparison of simulated with measured values. Source: Spangardt (1999).
Page 160
Figure 75 :
Energy supply of Japan in the 3rd week of the year. Source: ERJ.
Page 166

Figure 76 :
Energy supply of the Hokkaido West region of the Japanese energy model in the 3rd week of the year. Source: ERJ.
Page 167
Figure 77 :
Energy supply of the Kanto region of the Japanese energy model in the 3rd week of the year. Source: ERJ.
Page 168
Figure 78 :
Energy supply of the Chugoku region of the Japanese energy model in the 3rd week of the year. Source: ERJ.
Page 169
Figure 79 :
Energy supply of Japan in the 35th week of the year. Source: ERJ.
Page 170
Figure 80 :
Energy supply of a model region, including coal-fired and gas-fired power plants. Source: ERJ.
Page 171
Figure 81 :
Energy supply of a model region, including coal and gas power. Source: ERJ.
Page 172
Figure 82 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 1. Source: ERJ.
Page 177
Figure 83 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 2. Source: ERJ.
Page 178
Figure 84 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 3. Source: ERJ.
Page 179
Figure 85 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 4. Source: ERJ.
Page 180
Figure 86 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 5. Source: ERJ.
Page 181
Figure 87 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 6. Source: ERJ.
Page 182
Figure 88 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 7. Source: ERJ.
Page 183

Figure 89 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 8. Source: ERJ. Page 184
Figure 90 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 9. Source: ERJ. Page 185
Figure 91 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 10. Source: ERJ. Page 186
Figure 92 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 11. Source: ERJ. Page 187
Figure 93 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 12. Source: ERJ. Page 188
Figure 94 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 13. Source: ERJ. Page 189
Figure 95 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 14. Source: ERJ. Page 190
Figure 96 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 15. Source: ERJ. Page 191
Figure 97 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 16. Source: ERJ. Page 192
Figure 98 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 17. Source: ERJ. Page 193
Figure 99 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 18. Source: ERJ. Page 194
Figure 100 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 19. Source: ERJ. Page 195

Figure 101 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 20. Source: ERJ. Page 196
Figure 102 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 21. Source: ERJ. Page 197
Figure 103 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 22. Source: ERJ. Page 198
Figure 104 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 23. Source: ERJ. Page 199
Figure 105 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 24. Source: ERJ. Page 200
Figure 106 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 25. Source: ERJ. Page 201
Figure 107 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 26. Source: ERJ. Page 202
Figure 108 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 27. Source: ERJ. Page 203
Figure 109 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 28. Source: ERJ. Page 204
Figure 110 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 29. Source: ERJ. Page 205
Figure 111 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 30. Source: ERJ. Page 206
Figure 112 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 31. Source: ERJ. Page 207

Figure 113 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 32. Source: ERJ. Page 208
Figure 114 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 33. Source: ERJ. Page 209
Figure 115 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 34. Source: ERJ. Page 210
Figure 116 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 35. Source: ERJ. Page 211
Figure 117 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 36. Source: ERJ. Page 212
Figure 118 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 37. Source: ERJ. Page 213
Figure 119 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 38. Source: ERJ. Page 214
Figure 120 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 39. Source: ERJ. Page 215
Figure 121 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 40. Source: ERJ. Page 216
Figure 122 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 41. Source: ERJ. Page 217
Figure 123 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 42. Source: ERJ. Page 218
Figure 124 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 43. Source: ERJ. Page 219

Figure 125 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 44. Source: ERJ.
Page 220
Figure 126 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 45. Source: ERJ.
Page 221
Figure 127 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 46. Source: ERJ.
Page 222
Figure 128 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 47. Source: ERJ.
Page 223
Figure 129 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 48. Source: ERJ.
Page 224
Figure 130 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 49. Source: ERJ.
Page 225
Figure 131 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 50. Source: ERJ.
Page 226
Figure 132 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 51. Source: ERJ.
Page 227
Figure 133 :
The figure shows the energy supply by different technologies, the total demand and the storage of electrical surplus in hydrogen or pumped hydropower in Week 52. Source: ERJ.
Page 228
Figure 134 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Hokkaido East.
Page 229
Figure 135 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Hokkaido West.
Page 230
Figure 136 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Tohoku East.
Page 231
Figure 137 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Tohoku West.
Page 232

Figure 138 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Kanto.
Page 233
Figure 139 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Chubu.
Page 234
Figure 140 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Hokuriku.
Page 235
Figure 141 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Kansai.
Page 236
Figure 142 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Shikiku.
Page 237
Figure 143 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Chugoku.
Page 238
Figure 144 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Kyushu South.
Page 239
Figure 145 :
Percentage of nominal output of Hydropower in Kyushu North.
Page 240